STEPHEN M. HAYES (SBN 83583) STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON (SBN 136505) IT IS SO ORDEREI HAYES, DAVIS, ELLINGSON, McLAY & SCOTT LLP 203 Redwood Shores Parkway, Suite 480 Redwood Shores, California 94065 Judge James Ware Telephone: 650.637.9100 Facsimile: 650.637.8071 Attorney for Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE COMPANY 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 SUSAN MEZZETTI, CASE NO. C04 03022 JW RELATED CASE: C04 03311 JW Plaintiffs, 12 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 13 ٧. ORDER TO PARTIALLY REVISE THE 14 STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE COURT'S SCHEDULING ORDER INSURANCE COMPANY and DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 17 On November 30, 2004, Judge Ware designated Clewett v. State Farm, Case No. C04 03311 18 JW and Mezzetti v. State Farm, Case No. C04-3022 JW related. As such, they have identical 19 Scheduling Orders. All parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate to revise 20 certain deadlines in the Court's Scheduling Orders as follows: 21 RECITALS 22 1. The parties have been working diligently toward moving these related cases forward 23 in an efficient, expeditious manner. The parties have participated in the Rule 26 conferences and 24 exchanged the information and documents required by Rule 26. The parties have also exchanged 25 written discovery. 26 2. The parties wish to litigate this matter in a cost effective manner and avoid 27 unnecessary expert retention expenses and attorneys fees and costs. To that end, all of the parties in both related matters have sought to avoid potentially unnecessary discovery and expert retention pending exploration of settlement potential at the Early Neutral Evaluation conference to which the parties stipulated as their ADR option.

- 3. The Court has appointed George C. Fisher of Richey, Fisher, Whitman & Klein as the ENE Evaluator for both matters. On July 12, 2005, the parties participated in the pre-ENE conference call with Mr. Fisher and due to trial calendars, vacation schedules and other conflicts of the four attorneys who must attend, the earliest available date for the ENE conference is September 15, 2005. Thus, the parties have scheduled the ENE conference for that date subject to Court approval of this stipulation.
- 4. The parties also recognize that the Court's determination of a dispositive motion could either terminate this case or significantly narrow the issues relevant for trial and discovery.
- 5. For these reasons, the parties have agreed to stipulate to certain revisions to the Court's Scheduling Order to avoid incurring the expense of expert disclosure and other discovery that may not be necessary before either the completion of the ENE conference or the resolution of a dispositive motion.
- 6. The parties do not seek to continue any deadlines other than those deadlines necessary to accommodate the cost effective preparation of this matter for trial. The parties do not seek to delay the trial date, the preliminary pretrial conference or the final pretrial conference or deadlines thereto.

Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to revising the Scheduling Order as follows.

II. STIPULATION

The parties hereby stipulate to the following revisions to the court's Scheduling Order:

- 1. Deadline for Conducting the ENE Conference: September 30, 2005 (currently August 19, 2005);
- 2. Deadline for Hearing Pretrial Dispositive Motions: Novembe 75, 2005 (currently October 3, 2005);
- 3. Disclosure of Expert Witnesses: November 15, 2005 (currently July 26, 2005);

1	4.	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure:		November 30, 2005 (currently August 5, 2005);			
3	5.	Deadline to file a motion to exclud expert or any portion of the expert'		December 12, 2005, 9:00am (currently September 19, 2005);			
4 5	6.	Close of Discovery, including expe	rts:	December 30, 2005 (currently August 30, 2005);			
6	7.	Deadline to file preliminary pretrial and trial conference statement:		January 30, 2005 (currently November 21, 2005);			
8	8.	Preliminary Pretrial Conference:		February 30x 2006 (currently December 5, 2005).			
9	9.	The nature and extent of the discle	sures, motions, o	ther submissions to the court and			
11	any other substantive requirements associated with each of the deadlines set forth above remains						
12	unchanged from the Court's original November 30, 2004 Scheduling Order.						
13	Dated: 7//	3 , 2005 LAY	V OFFICES OF I	HOWARD G. FRANK			
14		•	1 1				
15		1	favor				
16	HOWARD G. FRANK Attorney for Plaintiff						
17		SUS	SAN MEZZETTI	•			
18		1,-					
19	Dated: //	<u>/</u>	ZZETTI LAW FI /	RM, INC.			
20		_//	1 James &	461 1			
21			BERT L. MEZZE	ETTI II			
22	Į .	Att SU	omey for Plaintifi SAN MEZZETTI	r i			
23							
24							
25 26							
26	\						
28							
	17770						

Case 5:04-cv-03022-JW Document 35 Filed 07/21/05 Page 4 of 4

- 1								
1	Dated:	, 2005	HAYES, DAVIS, ELI	LINGSON, McLAY & SCOTT				
2			O2					
3	By A							
4	STEPHEN M. HAYES STEPHEN P. ELLINGSON							
5	Attorneys for Defendant STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE							
6	INSURANCE COMPANY							
7	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court's November 30, 2004 Scheduling Order is hereby							
8	revised as follows:							
9	1.	Deadline for Conducting ENI		September 30, 2005				
10 11	2.	Deadline for Hearing Pretrial	7th November 3, 2005 @9am					
12	3.	Disclosure of Expert Witnesses:		November 15, 2005				
13	3.	Rebuttal Expert Disclosure:		November 30, 2005				
14	4.	Deadline to file a motion to exclude an expert or any portion of the expert's testimony:		December 12, 2005, 9:00am				
15	_	•	•	D 1 00 0005				
16	5.	Close of Discovery, including	g experts:	December 30, 2005				
17	6.	Deadline to file preliminary pretrial and trial setting conference statement:		January 30, 2006				
18	7. Preliminary Pretrial Conferen		ıce:	February 27, 2006 @11am				
19		·		•				
20	IT IS SO ORDERED:							
21	Dated: July 2	21, 2005	/s/ James Ware HONORABLE JAMES WARE					
22	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE							
23								
24								
25								
26								
2 7								
28								

17230